

Lost Soul
“Confucianism” in Contemporary
Chinese Academic Discourse

Harvard-Yenching Institute Monographs 64

Lost Soul
“Confucianism” in Contemporary
Chinese Academic Discourse

John Makeham



Published by the Harvard University Asia Center
for the Harvard-Yenching Institute
Distributed by Harvard University Press
Cambridge (Massachusetts) and London 2008

© 2008 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College

Printed in the United States of America

The Harvard-Yenching Institute, founded in 1928 and headquartered at Harvard University, is a foundation dedicated to the advancement of higher education in the humanities and social sciences in East and Southeast Asia. The Institute supports advanced research at Harvard by faculty members of certain Asian universities and doctoral studies at Harvard and other universities by junior faculty at the same universities. It also supports East Asian studies at Harvard through contributions to the Harvard-Yenching Library and publication of the *Harvard Journal of Asiatic Studies* and books on premodern East Asian history and literature.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Makeham, John, 1955-

Lost soul : "Confucianism" in contemporary Chinese academic discourse /

John Makeham

p. cm. -- (Harvard-Yenching Institute monographs series ; 64)

Includes bibliographic references and index.

ISBN 978-0-674-02811-1

1. Confucianism--China. 2. Confucianism--Taiwan.

BL1840.M35 2008

181'.112--dc22

2007032783

Index by the author

♻️ Printed on acid-free paper

Last number below indicates year of this printing

17 16 15 14 13 12 11 10 09 08

“O soul, come back! In the east you cannot abide. O soul, come back! In the south you cannot stay. . . . O soul, go not to the west! . . . O soul, go not to the north! . . . O soul, come back! Climb not to heaven above. O soul, come back! . . . Go not down to the Land of Darkness.”

—*Songs of the South*
(translation from David Hawkes,
Chu Tz'u, The Songs of the South)

Acknowledgments

This study has received the support of many colleagues over an extended period. Almost two decades ago, David Kelly directed me to sources when I first started to collect material on my annual trans-Tasman pilgrimages from Wellington to plunder the rich library resources at the Australian National University in Canberra. Fang Keli (then at Nankai University) provided an insider's perspective on mainland developments when I interviewed him in 1994. Wang Gungwu (East Asian Institute) shared his views on developments in Singapore when I interviewed him in 2000, as did Lin Anwu (Taiwan Normal University) on the situation in Taiwan when I interviewed him in 2002. Since 2002 I have also regularly benefited from discussions on New Confucian studies with Liu Shuxian and Li Minghui (Academia Sinica). Guo Qiyong (Wuhan University), Zheng Jiadong (Chinese Academy of Social Sciences), Yan Binggang (Shandong University), and Wang Xingguo (then at Yunnan University) generously hosted my visits to their institutions in 2003 and provided much valuable feedback on my work. Huang Junjie kindly arranged for me to spend an extended period as visiting scholar in the Center for the Study of East Asian Civilizations, National Taiwan University, in 2005. More recently, Noel Barnard, Paul Rakita Goldin, John Hanafin, Andrew Kipnis, Lauren Pfister, and Nathan Sivin all provided valuable critical perspectives on individual draft chapters. Paul R. Katz introduced me to some important studies on *rujiao* and Tan Sor-hoon generously sent me material on Singapore's "Confucian" experiment. Finally, I wish to express my gratitude to the reviewers of the manuscript for their careful reading and constructive criticisms, and to the Chiang Ching-kuo Foundation for International Scholarly Exchange and the Australian Research Council for providing grants to carry out the research underpinning this study.

J.M.

Contents

Introduction	I
Aims and Background / 4	
Key Themes and Arguments / 6	
Cultural Nationalism and <i>Ruxue</i> / 9	
<i>Part I Historical Background</i>	
1 The Singapore Experiment and <i>Rujia</i> Capitalism	21
Creative Transformation / 22	
An Incomplete Revitalization Movement / 24	
Institute of East Asian Philosophies / 26	
<i>Rujia</i> Capitalism / 28	
Yu Yingshi's Historical Approach to <i>Rujia</i> Capitalism / 31	
Du Weiming's Multicultural Confucianism with Chinese Characteristics / 34	
Critical Responses / 37	
Concluding Remarks / 40	
2 Developments in 1980s Taiwan and the Mainland	42
<i>Ruxue</i> and the Sinicization of Sociology / 43	
New Confucianism / 47	
<i>Ruxue</i> Organizations / 48	
Mutual Scholarly Influence / 50	
Concluding Remarks / 54	
3 The Rise of <i>Ruxue</i> in 1990s China	58
From <i>Xin Ruxue</i> to <i>Ruxue</i> / 59	
1994 / 63	
National Studies and Marxism / 67	
Concluding Remarks / 72	

- 4 *Ruxue* Studies in Post-1990 Taiwan 74
 New Confucian Conference Series / 75
 Academia Sinica's Research Project on Contemporary *Ruxue* / 80
 The Hermeneutic Turn and *Rujia* East Asia / 86
 Concluding Remarks / 94

Part II Ruxue and Chinese Culture

- 5 *Ruxue*: The Core of Chinese Culture 99
 Good *Ruxue*, Bad *Ruxue* / 99
 Critique of New Confucian Views / 104
 Transcendent Idealism Versus Historical Materialism / 107
 All-consuming *Ruxue* / 111
 The Mainstay of Chinese Culture / 112
Ruxue in the Twentieth Century / 115
 The Deep Structure of *Ruxue* and Chinese National Identity / 118
 Four Periods of *Ruxue* / 122
 Post-New Confucianism and New New Confucianism / 125
 Concluding Remarks / 130
- 6 Guo Qiyong, Zheng Jiadong, and *Rujia* Identity 132
 Guo Qiyong / 132
 Zheng Jiadong / 138
 Concluding Remarks / 147
- 7 *Daotong* and Chinese Culture 149
 Yu Yingshi on Qian Mu and the New Confucians / 149
 Yu Yingshi on *Daotong* / 151
 Early Responses / 153
Daotong as Culture / 154
 Concluding Remarks / 167

Part III The Politics of Orthodoxy

- 8 Lin Anwu's Post-New Confucianism 171
 Imperial-Style *Ruxue* / 172
 Liberation from Magic / 173
 Critique of Mou Zongsan / 176
 Critical/Post-New Confucianism / 180
 Dialogue and Marxism / 185
 Concluding Remarks / 187
 Appendix: A Note on Mou Zongsan's Moral Metaphysics / 188

9	<i>Ruxue: Daotong</i> Versus <i>Zhengtong</i> Chen Ming and the Chinese Cultural Renaissance Movement / 192 Taiwanese Perspectives / 198 Concluding Remarks / 205	192
10	From Doubting Antiquity to Explaining Antiquity: Reconstructing Early <i>Ru</i> Intellectual History in Contemporary China Explaining Antiquity / 209 Guodian Texts and <i>Ruxue</i> / 216 Concluding Remarks / 230	208
11	Marxism and <i>Ruxue</i> <i>Ruxue</i> “Panmoralism” and the Sinicization of Chinese Marxism / 234 <i>Ruxue</i> -Marxist Synthesis? / 238 Accentuate the Positive, Eliminate the Negative / 242 Abstract Inheritance / 243 Fang Keli and the “Mainland New Confucians” / 250 Luo Yijun / 252 Mainland New Confucians: The Fourth Generation of New Confucians? / 254 Concluding Remarks / 256	234
<i>Part IV Distinguishing Rujiao and Propagating Ruxue</i>		
12	Jiang Qing’s <i>Ruxue</i> Revivalism Marxism-Leninism Versus <i>Ruxue</i> / 261 Gongyang Learning and Cultural Nationalism / 264 Political <i>Ruxue</i> and Institutional Reconstruction / 267 Political Legitimacy and “Extolling Unification” / 270 Chinese <i>Rujiao</i> Association / 272 Concluding Remarks / 275	261
13	<i>Rujiao</i> as Religion <i>Rujiao</i> as a Religion / 277 New Confucian Views / 279 Ren Jiyu / 281 Origins of <i>Rujiao</i> / 283 Revival of the Debate in the New Millennium / 290 Li Shen’s Critics / 293 Knowledge Compartmentalization / 295	277

	Taiwanese Perspectives on <i>Rujiao</i> / 297	
	Ecumenical Encounters / 303	
	Tang Enjia and the Kongjiao xueyuan / 306	
	Concluding Remarks / 308	
14	Popularization of <i>Ruxue</i> and <i>Rujia</i> Thought and Values	310
	Traditional Virtues / 311	
	The Beijing Oriental Morality Research Institute / 313	
	Official Endorsement of <i>Rujia</i> Values? / 316	
	Recitation of Classical Texts / 319	
	Cultural Capital: The “Cash Value” of <i>Rujia</i> Values / 323	
	Concluding Remarks / 328	
	Conclusion	331
	<i>Reference Matter</i>	
	<i>Works Cited</i>	353
	<i>Index</i>	393

Lost Soul
“Confucianism” in Contemporary
Chinese Academic Discourse

